

Automorphism Classification of Cellular Automata

Hidenosuke Nishio

ex. Kyoto University

NCMA, September 1, 2009, Wroclaw

Outline of the talk

- **Preliminaries** : Cellular Automaton $CA = (\mathbb{Z}^d, Q, f, \nu)$.
- **Automorphism** of CA is defined by means of a pair of permutations (π, φ) of the neighborhood ν and the state set Q :

$$A \underset{(\pi, \varphi)}{\cong} B \iff (f_B, \nu_B) = (\varphi^{-1} f_A^\pi \varphi, \nu_A^\pi).$$

- **Classification** of local functions $\mathcal{P}_{n,q}$ using permutation group

$$Aut(n, q) \triangleq \{(\pi, \varphi) \mid \pi \in \mathcal{S}_n, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}_q\} = \mathcal{S}_n \times \mathcal{S}_q.$$

- **Classification of 256 ELF.**
- **Group action** (X, G) , where $X = \mathcal{P}_{n,q}$ and $G = Aut(n, q)$.

Cellular automaton, local structure

Definition

A cellular automaton is defined by a 4-tuple $(\mathbb{Z}^d, Q, f, \nu)$.

- \mathbb{Z}^d is a d -dimensional Euclidean **space**.
- Q is a finite set of **cell states**.
- $f : Q^n \rightarrow Q$ is a **local function** in n variables.
- $\nu : \mathbb{N}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^d$ is a **neighborhood**, where $\mathbb{N}_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This can be seen as a list $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$, where $\nu_i = \nu(i)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Definition

A pair (f, ν) is called a **local structure** of CA. We call n the **arity** of the local structure.

Global function (CA map)

Definition

A local structure uniquely induces a **global function** $F : Q^{\mathbb{Z}^d} \rightarrow Q^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ defined by

$$F(c)(p) = f(c(p + \nu_1), c(p + \nu_2), \dots, c(p + \nu_n)),$$

for any global configuration $c \in Q^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$, where $c(p)$ is the state of cell $p \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ in c .

Reduced local structures

Definition

A local structure is called **reduced**, if and only if the following conditions are fulfilled:

- f depends on all arguments.
- ν is injective, i.e. $\nu_i \neq \nu_j, i \neq j$ in the list of neighborhood ν .

Remark

*In this paper we assume that **local structures are reduced**, though the theory generalizes to the non-reduced case.*

Equivalence of local structures

Definition

Two local structures (f, ν) and (f', ν') are called **equivalent**, denoted by $(f, \nu) \approx (f', \nu')$, if and only if they induce **the same global function**.

Lemma

For each local structure (f, ν) there is an equivalent reduced local structure (f', ν') .

Permutation of local structures

Definition

Let π denote a **permutation** of the numbers in \mathbb{N}_n .

- For a neighborhood ν , denote by ν^π the neighborhood defined by $\nu_{\pi(i)}^\pi = \nu_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.
- For an n -tuple $\ell \in Q^n$, denote by ℓ^π the permutation of ℓ such that $\ell^\pi(i) = \ell(\pi(i))$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

For a local function $f : Q^n \rightarrow Q$, denote by f^π the local function $f^\pi : Q^n \rightarrow Q$ such that $f^\pi(\ell) = f(\ell^\pi)$ for all ℓ .

Example

Symmetric group $S_3 = \{\pi_i, 0 \leq i \leq 5\}$.

$$\pi_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \pi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \pi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\pi_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \pi_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \pi_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

6 Permutations of the elementary neighborhood $ENB(-1, 0, 1)$ are isomorphic to S_3 .

$$ENB^{\pi_0} = (-1, 0, 1), \quad ENB^{\pi_1} = (-1, 1, 0), \quad ENB^{\pi_2} = (0, -1, 1),$$

$$ENB^{\pi_3} = (0, 1, -1), \quad ENB^{\pi_4} = (1, -1, 0), \quad ENB^{\pi_5} = (1, 0, -1)$$

Lemma

(f, ν) and (f^π, ν^π) are equivalent for any permutation π .

Lemma

If (f, ν) and (f', ν') are two equivalent reduced local structures, then there is a permutation π such that $\nu^\pi = \nu'$.

Theorem

If (f, ν) and (f', ν') are two reduced local structures which are equivalent, then there is a permutation π such that $(f^\pi, \nu^\pi) = (f', \nu')$.

Polynomials over finite fields

Q is a finite field $GF(q)$ and $f : Q^n \rightarrow Q$ is a polynomial over $GF(q)$ in n indeterminates x_1, \dots, x_n of degree less than q in each indeterminate. The set of such polynomials is denoted by $\mathcal{P}_{n,q}$, $n \geq 1, q \geq 2$.

If $f \in \mathcal{P}_{3,q}$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = & u_0 + u_1x_1 + u_2x_2 + \cdots + u_i x_1^h x_2^j x_3^k + \cdots \\ & + u_{q^3-2} x_1^{q-1} x_2^{q-1} x_3^{q-2} + u_{q^3-1} x_1^{q-1} x_2^{q-1} x_3^{q-1}, \\ & \text{where } u_i \in GF(q), 0 \leq i \leq q^3 - 1. \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

If $f \in \mathcal{P}_{3,2}$ (Boolean function),

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = & u_0 + u_1x_1 + u_2x_2 + u_3x_3 \\ & + u_4x_1x_2 + u_5x_1x_3 + u_6x_2x_3 + u_7x_1x_2x_3, \\ & \text{where } u_i \in GF(2) = \{0, 1\}, 0 \leq i \leq 7. \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

Note that $a \vee b$ (Boolean) = $a + b + ab$ (polynomial), $a \wedge b = ab$.

A conclusion

Summing up the above discussions, we have the following corollary, which gives a reason why we only consider the set of local functions when classifying CA.

Corollary

*As far as the equivalence of CA (and the automorphism classification thereof) is concerned, we only have to consider the **local functions** without explicitly referring to neighborhoods.*

Automorphism

Assume that $A = (\mathbb{Z}^d, Q, f_A, \nu_A)$ and $B = (\mathbb{Z}^d, Q, f_B, \nu_B)$ are two CA having the same arity of local structures. Now we consider a pair of permutations (π, φ) , where π and φ are permutations of ν and Q , respectively. Note that φ naturally extends to $\varphi : Q^{\mathbb{Z}^d} \rightarrow Q^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$.

Definition

Two CA A and B are called **automorphic**, denoted $A \cong B$, if and only if there is a pair of permutations (π, φ) such that

$$(f_B, \nu_B) = (\varphi^{-1} f_A^\pi \varphi, \nu_A^\pi).$$

In this case, (π, φ) is called an **automorphism of CA**.
Symbolically we write $A \underset{(\pi, \varphi)}{\cong} B$.

Example

ECA : $Q = GF(2) = \{0, 1\}$. ELF : $Q^3 \rightarrow Q$. ENB = $(-1, 0, 1)$.

The permutation (conjugation) of states $0 \leftrightarrow 1$.

$$f'(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \varphi_1^{-1} f \varphi_1 = 1 + f(1 + x_1, \dots, 1 + x_n).$$

- Universal function $f_{110} = x_1 x_2 x_3 + x_2 x_3 + x_2 + x_3$.

$$f_{110}^{\pi_2} = f_{122} = x_1 x_2 x_3 + x_1 x_3 + x_1 + x_3.$$

$$(f_{110}, ENB) \neq (f_{122}, ENB), \text{ but } (f_{110}^{\pi_2}, ENB^{\pi_2}) = (f_{122}, ENB)$$

$$\text{or } (f_{110}, ENB) \underset{(\pi_2, \varphi_0)}{\cong} (f_{122}, ENB).$$

- By π_5 and conjugation φ_1 , we see $(f_{110}, ENB) \underset{(\pi_5, \varphi_1)}{\cong} (f_{193}, ENB)$.

$$\text{Thus we have } (f_{110}, ENB) \cong (f_{122}, ENB) \cong (f_{193}, ENB).$$

- In total there are 6 ECA which are automorphic to (f_{110}, ENB) .

Automorphism group of CA

We see that the sets of all permutations π of ν and φ of Q are isomorphic to **symmetric groups** S_n and S_q , respectively. Then we have

Definition

$$\text{Aut}(n, q) \equiv \{(\pi, \varphi) \mid \pi \in S_n, \varphi \in S_q\} \sim S_n \times S_q. \quad (3)$$

$\text{Aut}(n, q)$ will be called an **automorphism group** of CA. Note that since symmetric groups are generally nonabelian, $\text{Aut}(n, q)$ is nonabelian.

Automorphism classification of CA

Lemma

Automorphism group $\text{Aut}(n, q)$ naturally induces a classification of local structures of CA.

Proof: Let A , B and C be local structures of CA. Then we see that if

$A \underset{(\pi, \varphi)}{\cong} B$ and $B \underset{(\pi', \varphi')}{\cong} C$ for some $\pi, \pi' \in S_n$ and $\varphi, \varphi' \in S_q$, then

$A \underset{(\pi' \pi, \varphi' \varphi)}{\cong} C$. It is seen that the relation $\underset{(\pi, \varphi)}{\cong}$ is an equivalence relation

which induces a classification of CA. ■

Definition

The classification induced by $Aut(n, q)$ is called an **automorphism classification** of $\mathcal{P}_{n,q}$ denoted $\mathcal{NW} : \{[f_1], [f_2], \dots, [f_m]\}$, where f_i is a representative of class $[f_i]$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. m will be called the **size** of automorphism classification.

In other words, $f' \in [f]$ if and only if there is a $(\varphi, \pi) \in Aut(n, q)$ such that $(f', \nu') = (\varphi^{-1} f^\pi \varphi, \nu^\pi)$.

Remark

*All CA that have the local functions from a class provide the same global properties like surjectivity, injectivity and reversibility, **provided that the local structures are permuted appropriately**. In this sense we say that CA have a certain property **up to permutations**.*

Example

NW 9 ($||[f_{10}]|| = 12$)

$$f_{10} = x_3 + x_1 x_3. \quad f_{10}^{\pi_1} = x_2 + x_1 x_2 = f_{12}.$$

$$f'_{10} = 1 + x_1 + x_1 x_3 = f_{175}. \quad f'_{10}{}^{\pi_1} = 1 + x_1 + x_1 x_2 = f_{207}.$$

Wolfram number

$\varphi \setminus \pi$	π_0	π_1	π_2	π_3	π_4	π_5
φ_0	f_{10}	f_{12}	f_{34}	f_{68}	f_{48}	f_{80}
φ_1	f_{175}	f_{207}	f_{187}	f_{221}	f_{243}	f_{245}

Polynomial

$\varphi \setminus \pi$	π_0	π_1	π_2	π_3	π_4	π_5
φ_0	$x_3 + x_1 x_3$	$x_2 + x_1 x_2$	$x_3 + x_2 x_3$	$x_2 + x_2 x_3$	$x_1 + x_1 x_2$	$x_1 + x_1 x_3$
φ_1	$1 + x_1 + x_1 x_3$	$1 + x_1 + x_1 x_2$	$1 + x_2 + x_2 x_3$	$1 + x_3 + x_2 x_3$	$1 + x_2 + x_1 x_2$	$1 + x_3 + x_1 x_3$

Example

NW 32 ($|[f_{110}]| = 6$)

$f_{110} = x_1 x_2 x_3 + x_2 x_3 + x_2 + x_3$. (computation universal)

$f'_{110} = x_1 x_2 x_3 + x_1 x_2 + x_1 x_3 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + 1 = f_{137}$.

$\varphi \setminus \pi$	π_0, π_1	π_2, π_4	π_3, π_5
φ_0	f_{110}	f_{122}	f_{124}
φ_1	f_{137}	f_{161}	f_{193}

Example

NW 40 ($|\mathbb{Z}[f_{150}]| = 1$)

$f_{150} = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$. (symmetric function)

$f'_{150} = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = f_{150}$.

$\varphi \setminus \pi$	$\pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_4, \pi_3, \pi_5$
φ_0	f_{150}
φ_1	f_{150}

Automorphism classification of ELF

In Table 1 the 256 Elementary Local Functions (ELF) f_i , $0 \leq i \leq 255$ in Wolfram numbers are classified into **46 automorphism classes** NW_i , $1 \leq i \leq 46$.

The **7 classes** indexed by * are **surjective but not injective** up to permutations.

6 functions in $NW12^{**}$ and $NW44^{**}$ are injective and surjective, i.e. **reversible**.

The other classes are neither surjective nor injective.

6 functions in $NW32+$ are automorphic to the **universal function** f_{110} .

In Table 1, every class is indexed by NW i , $1 \leq i \leq 46$. Conjugate functions are bracketed, where singletons are self-conjugate functions.

Table 1-1. Automorphism classification of ELF

NW	Automorphism classes
1	$\{f_0, f_{255}\}$
2	$\{f_1, f_{127}\}$
3	$\{f_2, f_{191}\} \cup \{f_{16}, f_{247}\} \cup \{f_4, f_{223}\}$
4	$\{f_3, f_{63}\} \cup \{f_{17}, f_{119}\} \cup \{f_5, f_{95}\}$
5	$\{f_6, f_{159}\} \cup \{f_{20}, f_{215}\} \cup \{f_{18}, f_{183}\}$
6	$\{f_7, f_{31}\} \cup \{f_{21}, f_{87}\} \cup \{f_{19}, f_{55}\}$
7	$\{f_8, f_{239}\} \cup \{f_{64}, f_{253}\} \cup \{f_{32}, f_{251}\}$
8	$\{f_9, f_{111}\} \cup \{f_{65}, f_{125}\} \cup \{f_{33}, f_{123}\}$
9	$\{f_{10}, f_{175}\} \cup \{f_{80}, f_{245}\} \cup \{f_{12}, f_{207}\} \cup \{f_{68}, f_{221}\} \cup \{f_{34}, f_{187}\} \cup \{f_{48}, f_{243}\}$
10	$\{f_{11}, f_{47}\} \cup \{f_{81}, f_{117}\} \cup \{f_{13}, f_{79}\} \cup \{f_{69}, f_{93}\} \cup \{f_{35}, f_{59}\} \cup \{f_{49}, f_{115}\}$

(continued)

Table 1-2.

NW	automorphism classes
11	$\{f_{14}, f_{143}\} \cup \{f_{84}, f_{213}\} \cup \{f_{50}, f_{179}\}$
12**	$\{f_{15}\} \cup \{f_{51}\} \cup \{f_{85}\}$ (Reversible class)
13	$\{f_{22}, f_{151}\}$
14	$\{f_{23}\}$
15	$\{f_{24}, f_{231}\} \cup \{f_{66}, f_{189}\} \cup \{f_{36}, f_{219}\}$
16	$\{f_{25}, f_{103}\} \cup \{f_{61}, f_{67}\} \cup \{f_{37}, f_{91}\}$
17	$\{f_{26}, f_{167}\} \cup \{f_{82}, f_{181}\} \cup \{f_{28}, f_{199}\} \cup \{f_{70}, f_{157}, \}$ $\cup \{f_{38}, f_{155}\} \cup \{f_{52}, f_{211}\}$
18	$\{f_{27}, f_{39}\} \cup \{f_{53}, f_{83}\} \cup \{f_{29}, f_{71}\}$
19*	$\{f_{30}, f_{135}\} \cup \{f_{86}, f_{149}\} \cup \{f_{54}, f_{147}\}$
20	$\{f_{40}, f_{235}\} \cup \{f_{96}, f_{249}\} \cup \{f_{72}, f_{237}\}$

(continued)

Table 1-3.

NW	automorphism classes
21	$\{f_{41}, f_{107}\} \cup \{f_{97}, f_{121}\} \cup \{f_{73}, f_{109}\}$
22	$\{f_{42}, f_{171}\} \cup \{f_{112}, f_{241}\} \cup \{f_{76}, f_{205}\}$
23	$\{f_{43}\} \cup \{f_{77}\} \cup \{f_{113}\}$
24	$\{f_{44}, f_{203}\} \cup \{f_{100}, f_{217}\} \cup \{f_{56}, f_{227}\} \cup \{f_{98}, f_{185}\} \cup \{f_{74}, f_{173}\} \cup \{f_{88}, f_{229}\}$
25*	$\{f_{45}, f_{75}\} \cup \{f_{101}, f_{89}\} \cup \{f_{57}, f_{99}\}$
26	$\{f_{46}, f_{139}\} \cup \{f_{116}, f_{209}\} \cup \{f_{58}, f_{163}\} \cup \{f_{114}, f_{177}\} \cup \{f_{78}, f_{141}\} \cup \{f_{92}, f_{197}\}$
27*	$\{f_{60}, f_{195}\} \cup \{f_{102}, f_{153}\} \cup \{f_{90}, f_{165}\}$
28	$\{f_{62}, f_{131}\} \cup \{f_{118}, f_{145}\} \cup \{f_{94}, f_{133}\}$
29	$\{f_{104}, f_{233}\}$
30*	$\{f_{105}\}$

(continued)

Table 1-4.

NW	Automorphism classes
31*	$\{f_{106}, f_{169}\} \cup \{f_{120}, f_{225}\} \cup \{f_{108}, f_{201}\}$
32+	$\{f_{110}, f_{137}\} \cup \{f_{124}, f_{193}\} \cup \{f_{122}, f_{161}\}$ (Universal class)
33	$\{f_{126}, f_{129}\}$
34	$\{f_{128}, f_{254}\}$
35	$\{f_{130}, f_{190}\} \cup \{f_{144}, f_{246}\} \cup \{f_{132}, f_{222}\}$
36	$\{f_{134}, f_{158}\} \cup \{f_{148}, f_{214}\} \cup \{f_{146}, f_{182}\}$
37	$\{f_{136}, f_{238}\} \cup \{f_{192}, f_{252}\} \cup \{f_{160}, f_{250}\}$
38	$\{f_{138}, f_{174}\} \cup \{f_{208}, f_{244}\} \cup \{f_{140}, f_{206}\} \cup \{f_{196}, f_{220}\} \cup \{f_{162}, f_{186}\}$ $\cup \{f_{176}, f_{242}\}$
39	$\{f_{142}\} \cup \{f_{212}\} \cup \{f_{178}\}$
40*	$\{f_{150}\}$
41	$\{f_{152}, f_{230}\} \cup \{f_{194}, f_{188}, \} \cup \{f_{164}, f_{218}\}$
42*	$\{f_{154}, f_{166}\} \cup \{f_{180}, f_{210}\} \cup \{f_{156}, f_{198}\}$
43	$\{f_{168}, f_{234}\} \cup \{f_{224}, f_{248}\} \cup \{f_{200}, f_{236}\}$
44**	$\{f_{170}\} \cup \{f_{240}\} \cup \{f_{204}\}$ (Reversible class)
45	$\{f_{172}, f_{202}\} \cup \{f_{216}, f_{228} \cup \{f_{184}, f_{226}\}$
46	$\{f_{232}\}$

Table 2: Taxonomy of automorphism classification of ELF

number of functions in NW class	number of NW classes	number of functions
12	6	72
6	26	156
3	4	12
2	6	12
1	4	4
total	46	256

Group action

Classification \mathcal{NW} is reformulated as a **group action** (G, X) , where $X = \mathcal{P}_{n,q}$ and $G = \text{Aut}(n, q) \sim S_n \times S_q$. X is called a **G -space**.

- For any $f \in \mathcal{P}_{n,q}$ the automorphism class $[f]$ is now the same as the **orbit** containing f : $\{gf | g \in G\} = \{\varphi^{-1} f^\pi \varphi | \pi \in S_n, \varphi \in S_q\}$.
- A G -space is called **transitive** if it has just one orbit. Every G -space is a disjoint union of transitive G -spaces. The set of such transitive G -spaces will be called an **orbit space or quotient space** denoted X/G .
- Every automorphism class $[f]$ is a transitive G -space such that $\mathcal{P}_{n,q} = \cup_{i=1}^m [f_i]$. The size of classification m is equal to the **number of orbits** $|X/G|$ given by the Orbit-Counting Lemma.

Lemma (Orbit-Counting Lemma)

The number of orbits $|X/G|$ is equal to the "average number" of fixed elements in X of an element of G . That is, if $X(g) = |\{x \in X \mid gx = x\}|$, then we have

$$|X/G| = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} X(g).$$

Example

For $X = \mathcal{P}_{3,2}$ and $G \approx S_3 \times S_2$, we see that $|X| = 2^{2^3} = 256$ and $|G| = 3! \times 2! = 12$. The orbit number $|X/G| = 46$.

Table 2 in Appendix shows that

$$\sum (\text{orbit length} \times \text{number of orbits}) = 12 \times 6 + 6 \times 26 + 3 \times 4 + 2 \times 6 + 1 \times 4 = 256.$$

Lemma (Lagrange's Theorem)

Let Ω be an arbitrary transitive G -space, then

$$|G| = |\Omega| \cdot |G_x|, \text{ where } G_x = \{g \in G \mid gx = x\}, \forall x \in \Omega.$$

$G_x = \{g \in G \mid gx = x\}$ is called the *stabilizer* of x .

Example

Lagrange's Theorem applies to each NW class $NW_i \subset \mathcal{P}_{3,2}$. For instance, in case of NW_9 the cardinality of stabilizer $|G_x| = 1$ for any $x \in NW_9$. Therefore we have $|NW_9| = 12$. In contrast we see $G_x = G$ or $|G_x| = 12$ for all $x \in NW_{30}$ and therefore $|NW_{30}| = 1$.

Classification by subgroups of $Aut(n, q)$

Let T_n and T_q be subgroups of S_n and S_q , respectively. Then we can likewise define a classification of $\mathcal{P}_{n,q}$ by $T_n \times T_q$.

Lemma

A smaller subgroup induces a classification with a larger size.

Example

The historical classification of ECA into **88** classes was made by Hurd (1986), which appears in a book by Wolfram (1994), considering the left-right symmetry of ENB and the state conjugation. This classification is induced by a subgroup

$$\{(\pi_0, \varphi_0), (\pi_0, \varphi_1), (\pi_5, \varphi_0), (\pi_5, \varphi_1)\} = \{\pi_0, \pi_5\} \times S_2 \subset S_3 \times S_2$$

Example

Multiplication table of S_3 .

\cdot	π_0	π_1	π_2	π_3	π_4	π_5
π_0	π_0	π_1	π_2	π_3	π_4	π_5
π_1	π_1	π_0	π_4	π_5	π_2	π_3
π_2	π_2	π_3	π_0	π_1	π_5	π_4
π_3	π_3	π_2	π_5	π_4	π_0	π_1
π_4	π_4	π_5	π_1	π_0	π_3	π_2
π_5	π_5	π_4	π_3	π_2	π_1	π_0

Example

Let $\langle a, b, \dots \rangle$ denote the subgroup generated by subset $\{a, b, \dots\}$.
Then we see the following.

- $\langle \pi_0, \pi_1 \rangle = \{\pi_0, \pi_1\}$ (subgroup of right-center symmetry)
- $\langle \pi_0, \pi_2 \rangle = \{\pi_0, \pi_2\}$ (subgroup of left-center symmetry)
- $\langle \pi_0, \pi_5 \rangle = \{\pi_0, \pi_5\}$ (subgroup of right-left symmetry)
- $\langle \pi_0, \pi_3 \rangle = \langle \pi_0, \pi_4 \rangle = \langle \pi_0, \pi_3, \pi_4 \rangle = \{\pi_0, \pi_3, \pi_4\}$
(subgroup of cyclic permutations)
- $\{\pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_2\} \subsetneq \langle \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_2 \rangle = S_3$
- $\{\pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_5\} \subsetneq \langle \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_5 \rangle = S_3$
- $\{\pi_0, \pi_2, \pi_5\} \subsetneq \langle \pi_0, \pi_2, \pi_5 \rangle = S_3$

Future problems

Problem

Closer view of group action of $S_n \times S_q$ on $\mathcal{P}_{n,q}$ taking advantage of their specific algebraic structures.

We will not make mathematics but contribute some thing to it as well as to the CA study.

Problem

Classification of CA by *weaker* properties than the sameness of global functions.

- Classification by **cognate** \asymp . For $A = (f, \nu)$ and $B = (f', \nu')$,

$$A \asymp B \iff (f', \nu') = (f^\pi, \nu^{\pi'}), \text{ where } \pi \neq \pi'.$$

Are there interesting and useful properties which are invariant by classification \asymp ?

- If CA are not cognate or the neighborhoods are not a permutation of each other, we would have infinitely many CA including a computation universal CA.

Is there an effective classification of such arbitrary set of CA?

Thank you for your attention!